



## Approches diagnostiques innovantes et accès au marché Innovating Diagnostic Approaches and Market Acess

**ADEBIOTECH Conference - September 16, 2021 - Paris** 

Ph. Cleuziat Open Innovation & Partnerships Dpt

**PIONEERING DIAGNOSTICS** 

# When we think about product access to the market, we focus on these 2 steps...

#### **Diagnostic Evidence Continuum**



VALIDATED

Required for Regulatory Approval

## ... But safety, efficacy, clinical value are no longer enough to ensure market penetration of innovative products

Key questions to be answered to penetrate market



Market access is then all about **demonstrating** and **communicating** product **medical and economic value** to the **health system** 

# As a result, much more evidence is needed for market adoption compared to regulatory approval

#### inical Utility Clinical Economic **Clinical Validity Analytical Validity** Outcomes **Evidence Outcomes** Does the test Does the test Does the test alter patient Does the test accurately measure accurately identify or management and improve provide acceptable what it claims to predict a clinical outcomes? value or save Physician decision-making status? measure? money? impact analysis Clinical sensitivity, Precision. Cost-effectiveness Clinical outcomes through specificity reproducibility. analysis observational or prospective replicability Negative predictive Budget impact randomized controlled trials • Accuracy value (NPV), positive modeling and analyses Analytical sensitivity, predictive value (PPV) specificity **Required for Regulatory Approval Required for Market Adoption**

#### Diagnostic Evidence Continuum

BIOMÉRIEUX

Source: Health Advances for bioMérieux

## **Challenges of diagnostics**

There is a <u>dearth</u> <u>of studies</u> which can provide the evidence of the value of diagnostics in well-characterised situations, and the lack of such evidence has been a hindrance for diagnostic innovation.

The current diagnostic <u>business</u> <u>model</u> - focused on technology used, lab activity measures, and complexity indicators – is <u>antiquated.</u> The <u>current financial</u> <u>framework</u> (i.e. inadequate reimbursement, reimbursement based on technology rather than medical value) <u>does not</u> <u>encourage innovation</u> related to diagnostic tests.

Regulatory approval has historically been based on <u>analytical</u> <u>performance</u>, rather than on clinical effectiveness. Psychological, social, economical, ethical, organisational <u>barriers</u> <u>prevent the uptake and</u> <u>development</u> of diagnostics for antimicrobial stewardship.

## VALUE-Dx :

Pioneering Public-Private Partnerships to quantify the value Diagnostic

(and combat Anti-Microbial Resistance by optimising antibiotic use)



## **The VALUE-Dx Consortium**



## **Vision & purpose of VALUE-Dx project**

The **vision** is to transform clinical practice, improve patient outcomes, and combat AMR, through the widespread use of clinical and cost-effective innovative diagnostics strategies to achieve more personalised, evidence-based antibiotic prescription and use in community care settings. The **purpose** is to facilitate and accelerate the rigorous assessment and implementation of (new) diagnostic technologies into healthcare settings, by establishing the infrastructure, methods, processes and approaches needed to understand, evaluate, assess, and demonstrate the multi-faceted value of diagnostics and overcome the associated barriers to their widespread adoption and use.

The **focus** is on realising its vision and purpose on community-acquired acute respiratory tract infections (CA-ARTI).

Therefore, VALUE-Dx will focus on diagnostic strategies relevant to reducing AMR in CA-ARTI in community care settings, referred to as "CA-ARTI-Dx"

## Case Study : Community-Acquired Acute Respiratory Tract Infections (CA-ARTI)

- CA-ARTI has an important incidence / public health impact
- Overprescribing of antibiotics is mostly flagrant (~ 50% inappropriate / unecessary)
- Various parameters can be measured to demonstrate impact of IVD
  - Dose, # days of antibiotic prescribed to patient
  - Proportion of patients NOT receiving antibiotics
  - Development of antibiotic resistant colonization
  - □ Pathogen mutation
  - Patient outcome
  - □ Health care-associated costs.
  - **u** ...







## **Objectives of VALUE-Dx**

Helping to build the economic case for rapid diagnostics as a public good in the fight against AMR

1. To design a health-economic framework (HEF) to assess and demonstrate the value of diagnostics both for individual patients and for public health impact by reducing antibiotic use and subsequent antibiotic resistance among patients.

2. To establish a sustainable European Standardised Care Network adequately trained and resourced to conduct clinical trials evaluating the value of diagnostics.

3. To design and implement clinical studies to demonstrate the value of diagnostics in the optimal management of Community-Acquired Acute Respiratory Tract Infections (CA-ARTIs) 4. To explore, define and attempt to resolve the psychological, ethical and social barriers which prevent the more widespread adoption of diagnostics delivering healthcare to the population.



## **Project structure and interactions**





## **Community care settings**

- Defined as the first point of contact with health services.
- This includes both in and out of office hours care.
- Settings: general practice, urgent care centres, accident and emergency rooms and other acute services in hospitals, paediatric care centres, and rehabilitation and long-term care facilities.





# **Diagnostic Intervention in Emergency Department**

- 1. BioFire FilmArray Pneumonia Panel plus (PP): Sputum (and/or ETA or BAL sample)
- 2. BioFire FilmArray Respiratory Panel 2.1. plus (RP2.1 plus): Nasopharyngeal swab





BIOFIRE® FILMARRAY® Torch





#### Viruses

- Adenovirus
- Coronavirus 229E
- Coronavirus HKU1
- Coronavirus OC43 •
- Coronavirus NL63 •
- Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome • Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) •
- Middle East Respiratory Syndrome • Coronavirus (MERS-CoV)
- Human Metapneumovirus •
- Human Rhinovirus/ Enterovirus

- Influenza A
- Influenza A/H1 •
- Influenza A/H1-2009
- Influenza A/H3 •
  - Influenza B
    - Parainfluenza 1
  - Parainfluenza 2
  - Parainfluenza 3
- Parainfluenza 4
- RSV

•

### **Bacteria**

- Bordetella parapertussis (IS1001)
- Bordetella pertussis (ptxP)
- Chlamydia pneumoniae
- Mycoplasma pneumoniae





Nasopharyngeal







Overall: 97.1% Sensitivity | 99.3% Specificity\* SARS-CoV-2: 98.4% PPA | 98.9% NPA<sup>b</sup>

Pneumonia panel plus - 34 targets



### **Bacteria**

# 15 Common Bacteria with "binned" results

#### Semi - Quantitative

- Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-baumannii complex
- Serratia marcescens
- Proteus spp.
- Klebsiella pneumoniae group
- Enterobacter aerogenes
- Enterobacter cloacae
- Escherichia coli
- Haemophilus influenzae
- Moraxella catarrhalis
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa
- Staphylococcus aureus
- Streptococcus pneumoniae
- Klebsiella oxytoca
- Streptococcus pyogenes
- Streptococcus agalactiae

#### BIOMÉRIEUX

### Atypical Bacteria Qualitative

- Legionella pneumophila
- Mycoplasma pneumoniae
- Chlamydia pneumoniae

## Antibiotic Resistance Genes

#### **Methicilin Resistance**

mecA/mecC and MREJ

acteria Dical	ESBL • CTX-M	7 antimicrobial resistance markers
	Carbapenemases <ul> <li>KPC</li> </ul>	

- NDM
- Oxa48-like
- VIM
- IMP

Sputum-like

SAMPLE TYPE

BAL-like

# 3 Qualitative Bathering Stresson Stress

### Qualitative

- Influenza A
- Influenza B
- Adenovirus
- Coronavirus
- Parainfluenza virus
- Respiratory Syncytial virus
- Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus

9 Viruses

- Human Metapneumovirus
- Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV)

• Same as in RP2*plus* 

No Sub-typing

# ADEQUATE – Advanced Diagnostics for Enhanced QUality of Antibiotic prescription in respiratory Tract infections in Emergency rooms



# Objective: assess the impact of rapid syndromic testing in patients presenting with CA-ARTI in the ER on:

- 1. days in hospital within 14 days after study enrolment
- 2. days with antibiotic therapy within 14 days after study enrolment
- 3. occurrence of adverse outcome within 30 days after study enrolment

#### **Co-primary endpoints:**

- 1. Days alive out of hospital (superiority endpoint), within 14 days
- 2. Days on Therapy with antibiotics (superiority endpoint), within 14 days
- 3. Adverse outcome (non-inferiority safety endpoint)
- For initially non-admitted patients: any admission or death within 30 days
- For initially hospitalized patients:
- i) any readmission
- ii) ICU admission >= 24 hours after hospitalization, or
- iii) death, all within 30 days



# https://value-dx.eu/

#### Copyright 2019 VALUE-DX

This project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 820755. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA and bioMérieux SA, Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Accelerate Diagnostics S.L., Abbott, Bio-Rad Laboratories, BD Switzerland Sàrl, and The Wellcome Trust Limited.





()

## A few take-home messages...

- Analytical performance is non longer enough for product commercialization
- Registration of (innovative) products requires extensive studies to demonstrate its medical value
- Market adoption also relies on economical value assessment in a wide frame that takes into acount extensive parameters including Quality of Life
- Pan-European / across-countries approaches are key to accelerate product adoption (and public health impact especially for communicable diseases)
- Clinical networks / cross-sectorial collaboration are key to demonstrate the important value of diagnostics (information) in the continuum of healthcare.
- Patient-centric initiatives with PROMs and PREMs are essential
- Health Economic and Outcome Research is a growing disciplin of utmost importance





### PIONEERING DIAGNOSTICS